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These are PROPOSED RULES

Many of the proposals are CLARIFICATIONS of existing rules
Individual Right of Access

- Reduces individual’s barriers to accessing their PHI
- Individuals will have the right to take notes, photos, and videos of their own PHI
Individual Right of Access

- Reduces the amount of time a covered entity has to respond to a request from 30 calendar days to 15 calendar days
- No undue delay
- Ex – Lab results
Individual Right of Access

• Addressing the form of access
• Readily producible:
  • In the form and format requested
  • Or in a readable hard copy form
  • Or as agreed to by individual and covered entity
Individual Right of Access

- Addresses requests to disclose to third-parties
  - Mandatory vs Permissive
  - Manner of request
  - Form and format
  - Fees
Fees for Records

- Defines permissible and impermissible charges
- Inspection is always free; internet
- Reasonable cost-based fee
- Third-party direct copy fee
Fees for Records

- Give notice to the individual of what the fee for the copies will be
- Post fee schedule (on your website if you have one)
Business Associate Agreements and Access

- BAA must clarify when BA must provide access/disclosure
Patient Identification Verification

- Clarifies language on unreasonable ID verification measures
- Personal representative verification
- Does NOT remove your obligation to properly identify the individual’s identity
Healthcare Operations

- Current confusion: Interpretation of healthcare operations as only population-based care coordination and case management
- Effect: Covered entities are not disclosing or requesting PHI to support coordinated care
Healthcare Operations

- Clarifies the definition of healthcare operations – ALL care and coordination and case management by health plans
- Clarifies care coordination and case management activities
Creating an Exception to Minimum Necessary Standard

- Flexible
  - Example – Covered healthcare provider may determine that it is reasonable to rely on the representations from a health plan and a health plan may rely on representations from a public health authority
Creating an Exception to Minimum Necessary Standard

- Treatment Exception
  - Example – Hospital disclosure to rehabilitation hospital
- Internal Use and disclosure
Creating an Exception to Minimum Necessary Standard

- Care coordination and case management
  - Example: Diabetes patients
- Health Plans restricted greater on care coordination and case management
Creating an Exception to Minimum Necessary Standard

- Adds an express exception for care coordination and case management
- Would only apply at the individual level
Creating an Exception to Minimum Necessary Standard

- Covered Entities responsible for meeting the minimum necessary standard
  - disclosures other than individual-level
  - disclosures other than healthcare providers and plans
  - uses for care coordination and case management, T or O
  - uses, requests, and disclosures for other purposes, population-based
Creating an Exception to Minimum Necessary Standard

- CURES Act Final Rule
  - Prohibits healthcare provider from limiting permissible disclosure to minimum necessary
  - Provider can honor individual request for restrictions
Third Party Disclosures

- Adds subsection 164.506(c)(6)
- Expressly permit CEs to disclose PHI to non-CEs such as:
  - Social Service Agencies
  - Community based organizations
  - HCBS providers
  - Similar 3rd-parties that provide health-related services
• Proposed changes to Substance Use Disorders and Serious Mental Illness may affect RHCs too!
Part 2 Applicability

Does your organization provide SUD diagnosis, treatment, or referral to treatment?

Yes

Are you federally-assisted?

Yes

Are you a Part 2 program?

Yes

Do you receive records from a Part 2 program?

Yes

Part 2 applies

No

Part 2 applies as a "lawful holder"

No

Part 2 does not apply

No

Part 2 does not apply

No

Do you receive records from a Part 2 program?

Yes

Part 2 applies as a "lawful holder"

No

Part 2 does not apply

Part 2 applies as a "lawful holder"

No

Part 2 does not apply
PHI Disclosures - SUD

- Support
  - First Proposal
    - Exercise of professional judgement vs Good Faith Belief
  - Second Proposal
    - Serious and Imminent Threat vs Serious and Reasonably Foreseeable Threat Standard
PHI Disclosures - SUD

- Replacing Professional Judgement with Good Faith Belief
  - Improve coordination of care
  - Professional judgement presupposes that professional vs a workforce member
    - workforce member disclosure limited to knowledge
PHI Disclosures - SUD

- Presumption of Good Faith Belief
  - Improve timeliness of disclosures of threats
  - Facts and circumstances surrounding disclosures
  - Policies/Procedures: Obtain the privacy preferences at known risk BEFORE they become incapacitated
PHI Disclosures - SUD

- Identity Verification
  - Policies and Procedures
  - Reasonable designed to verify identity and authority of requestor of PHI
  - Good Faith; in the patients’ best interest
How does Part 2 play into coordinated care and case management?

• Part 2 also applies to:
  • “Lawful Holders”
    • Other recipients of Part 2 information, such as Third-party payers/Health Plans
    • Other providers; Other entities coordinating care
    • Entities having direct administrative control over Part 2 programs
      • Exceptions to Lawful Holders: Department of Veterans affairs; Armed forces; QSOs
NPP requirements

• Remove the requirement for acknowledgement
  • Also removes the retention of acknowledgement records
• Replace the written acknowledgement with individual right to discuss the NPP
  • Modify NPP to include this right
NPP requirements

- Modifying NPP header
  - How to access PHI
  - How to file a HIPAA complaint
  - Right to receive the NPP and discuss contents
- Designated person
  - Are they onsite
  - Contact information (phone and email)
NPP requirements

- Fully understand rights of access
- Optional section for third party disclosures
Hearing Impaired, Deaf/Blind, Speech Disability

- Express consent to disclose PHI to TRS systems
  - BAA NOT needed
  - New subsection proposed to exclude TRS systems from the definition of BA
Submitting Comments on the Proposed Rule

https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/regulatory-initiatives/index.html
Compliance is Quality Patient Care!
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CRHCP Code

- **DFW4M**

- Please note that this code is only for those that are certified rural health clinic professionals (CRHCP) and need to maintain their CRHCP certification.